

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/656,545	DEBUSK, THOMAS A.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jacqueline E. Hartt

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 3/11/05*

**Claims discussed:**

*All*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Prior Art of Record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claim 15, and nonelected claims 20-33 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of page 5 line 21 through page 7 line 5 of the instant specification.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)